

Sources for Rape Myths

400 years of implicit bias won't go away without a radical introduction of bias-free artificial intelligence in the decision-making process

1. Constance BACKHOUSE, [Carnal Crimes, Sexual Assault Law in Canada, 1900-1975](#), Toronto: The Osgoode Society for Canadian Legal History, Irwin Law, Toronto, 2008 (446 pages).

This book is a diamond of Canadian legal history, outlining the systematic mistreatment of women by the Canadian justice system in the 20th century. The author has been really generous to post a [free copy on her website](#).

2. [LAW SOCIETY OF ONTARIO, Rules of Professional Conduct, s. 6.3.1 Sexual Harrassment Definition](#)

The realest, most comprehensive definition of sexual harassment in all Canadian legislation I've seen so far, with detailed examples.

3. Andrea VOLLANS, [Court-Related Abuse and Harrassment](#), (2010) University of British Columbia Center for Women and YWCA Vancouver (30 pages).

This paper describes how civil proceedings in Canada are manipulated as a tool to abuse and harass female plaintiffs.

4. Antony E. SIMPSON, [The Blackmail Myth and the Prosecution of Rape and Its Attempt in 18th Century London: The Creation of a Legal Tradition](#), 77 J. Crim.L. & Criminology 101 (1986).

It is easy to overlook papers that old, because we think that humans evolve. They do not. I realized that the #metoo discourse began in the 80's, but was stifled and dissuaded until now.

5. Deborah TUERKHEIMER, [Incredible Women: Sexual Violence and the Credibility Discount](#), (2017) 166 U. PA. L. REV. 1

This paper focuses on credibility discounting of survivors in sex cases, subjected to an "enduring system of disbelief", although it's common knowledge that no victim has interest in making false allegations.

6. Anthony C. GREENWALD, Linda, HAMILTON-KRIEGER, [Implicit Bias: Scientific Foundations](#), (2006) 94 Cal. L. Rev. 945.

This is why humans won't evolve. Gender bias is a deep program perpetuated by families, the media, and everything that surrounds us. It takes root in childhood and runs so deep that it is impossible to eradicate. We're all infected. But we also have the tech to fix it, if we want to.

7. [*Jane Doe v. Board of Commissioners of Police*](#), [1988] O.J. Nu. 2681, 29 O.R. (3d) 487.

This is one of the better judgments of the 20th century in line with the progressive streak of the 80's. It describes the most common myths in detail and how they endanger women's safety when applied by the police. Jane Doe was awarded \$220 000 in damages.

(by alphabetical, non-annotated)

8. (AUTHOR?) [*"The Corroboration Rule and Crimes Accompanying a Rape."*](#) University of Pennsylvania Law Review, (1970) vol. 118, no. 3, pp. 458–472.
9. Camilla-Cecilie ANDERSEN, [*"Getting to the Root of #metoo Through the Fourth Wave of Feminism"*](#), 2018, Masters Dissertation, Department of Political Science, Research Gate.
10. Hannah BELITZ, [*A Right Without a Remedy: Sexual Abuse in Prison and the Prison Litigation Reform Act*](#), (2018) Harvard Civil Rights, Civil Liberties Law Review (CR-CL), Vol.53, No.1
11. Janine BENEDET, *Judicial Misconduct in the Sexual Assault Trial*, (2019) University of British Columbia Law Review, Vol. 52, Nr.1, January 2019.
12. Melissa L. BREGER, [*Reforming by Re-Norming: How the Legal System Has the Potential to Change a Toxic Culture of Domestic Violence*](#), (2017) 44 J.Legis. 170.
13. Tyler J. BULLER, [*Fighting Rape Culture with Noncorroboration Instructions*](#), (2017). Tulsa Law Review, Vol. 53, No. 1, 2017.
14. Tyler J. BULLER, [*State v. Smith perpetuates Rape Myths and Should be Formally Disavowed*](#), 102 Iowa L. Rev. Bull. 185 (2016-2017).
15. Martha R. BURT, *Cultural Myths and Supports for Rape*, (1980) Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, Vol. 38. No.2, 217-230.
16. Rosanna CAVALLARO, [*Rape Shield Evidence and the Hierarchy of Impeachment*](#), (2019) Am.Crim.L.Rev. Vol. 56, Issue 3, Spring 2019.
17. Jack FARRELL, [*Vixens, Sirens, and Whores: The Persistence of Stereotypes in Sexual Offence Law*](#), (2017) 20 Trinity C.L.Rev 30.
18. Michael T. FLANNERY, [*The Uncanny Valley and the Verisimilitude of Sexual Offenders – Part I: an Etorobotic Perspective*](#), (2019) 6 Tex. A&M L.Rev. 541-618.

19. Zachary D. KAUFMAN, [Protectors of Predators or Prey: Bystanders and Upstanders Amid Sexual Crimes](#), (forthcoming 2019) 92 S. Cal. Rev.
20. Alisha KINLAW, [A Snapshot of Justice: Carving Out a Space for Revenge Porn Victims Within the Criminal Justice System](#), (2019) Temple Law Review, Vol.91. 407-446.
21. Alyssa R. LEADER, [A SLAPP in the Face of Free Speech: Protecting Survivors' Rights to Speak Up in the #MeToo Era](#), (2019) 17 First Amend. L. Rev. 440-476.
22. Samuel-Paul, LOUIS-VEISSIÈRE, [Toxic Masculinity in the Age of #MeToo: Ritual, Morality, and Gender Archetypes Across Cultures](#), (2018) Society and Business Review, ©Emerald Publishing Limited.
23. Martha L. MINOW, [Upstanders, Whistleblowers, and Rescuers](#), (2014) Koningsberger Lecture Series, Faculty of Law, Utrecht, Dec.15. 2014.
24. Robert J. O'NEALE, [Court Ordered Psychiatric Examination of a Rape Victim in a Criminal Rape Prosecution – Or How Many Times Must a Woman be Raped](#), (1978) 18 Santa Clara L.Rev.119.
25. Julia QUILTER, [Rape Trials, Medical Texts and the Threat of Female Speech: The Perverse Female Complainant](#), (2015) Law Text Culture, Vol. 19, Issue 1, 231-270.
26. Leah SLYDER, [Rape in the Civil and Administrative Contexts: Proposed Solutions to Problems in Tort Cases Brought by Rape Survivors](#), (2017) 68 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 543.
27. Lyne TIRRELL, [Toxic Mysogyny and the Limits of Counterspeech](#), (2019) 87 Fordham L. Rev. 2433.
28. Amelia J. UELMEN, [Crime Spectators and the Tort of Objectification](#), University of Massachusetts Law Review, Vol.12, Issue 1, Article 2.
29. Irving YOUNGER, [The Requirement of Corroboration in Prosecutions for Sex Offenses in New York](#), (1971) 40 Fordham L.Rev.263.